

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,081	07/10/2001	Richard E. Demaray	M-11522 US	1225
7590 02/12/2004		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
GARETT & DUNNER LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1300 I STREET N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315		1731		

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/903,081	DEMARAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Hoffmann	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16Jai	<u>1 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29 are</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13, 21-23 and 28 and 29 is/are rejected. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-20,24-27 and 29</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</u>	<u>re</u> is/are withdrawn from conside	ration.				
Application Papers						
. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application for the deciments have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/01.	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, Specie A in Paper No. of 16 Jan 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-1, 21-23, and 28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. of 16 Jan 2004.

Information Disclosure Statement

Not all of the references on the 1449-form have been considered. It is indicated on the 1449 as to why those individual references were not considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-19, 24-25,, 27, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for "the first frequency" of claim 17

Art Unit: 1731

It is unclear if "radiofrequency" (one word) is different from "radio frequency" used in the specification. Claims 15-19, 24-25 and 29 use the one word variation.

Claim 27: there is confusing antecedent basis for "ridge structure". There is incomplete antecedent basis for "the silicon" - it is unclear if such requires all of the silicon to be oxidize, or just a portion thereof.

Claim 29: there is no antecedent basis for "the process": second to last line. Line 6, it is unclear if the radiofrequency power if this is the same power as that of line 5: there is confusing antecedent basis for this power.

Claim Objections

Claim 27 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 14 requires forming a ridge structure in a layer in the first material having a refractive index. Claim 27 does not form a ridge structure in the first material (i.e. the glass) - rather it forms the structure in a different material (silicon) which is then converted into the first material. Claim 27 is outside the scope of claim 14. It does not further limit claim 14, but rather it takes it to a completely exclusive scope.

Claim 27 is not further treated on its merits.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kawaguchi 6605228.

Figures 7a-7e and col. 5, line 63- col 6, 28 discloses the invention: 12a points to the ridge structure. Figure 7B represents the depositing of the core layer. Fig 7e shows the depositing of the upper cladding layer. Col. 6, lines 3-8, 21-23 disclose the refractive indices. Col. 6, lines 17-18 discloses using polishing rather than etching to remove some material: this polishing is deemed to be the exclusion of etching.

Claim 17: it is deemed that RF power is inherently applied, due to nearby radio stations or from naturally occurring phenomena. As to "the first frequency": light is at a frequency that is much larger than the second frequency. (Note the 112 rejection above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1731

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-16, 24-26, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaguchi as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Klein 3850604.

Kawaguchi discloses using sputtering for forming the core (col. 4, line 20) but not any of the specifics. One of ordinary skill knows all of the specifics. Klein is cited as being evidence as to what one of ordinary skill thinks of when one is to sputter glass. Col. 4, lines 3-15 of Klein discloses the typical sputtering operation. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to use the Klein apparatus/method because it is "typical" and one would expect a typical way to be more reliable, accessible, universally usable and robust than any atypical method/apparatus.

As to the uniform plasma condition, see col. 4, lines 30-38 of Klein.

Claim 24: see Kawaguchi, col. 4, lines 12-21 and col 6, lines 21-27. It would have been obvious to use the same sort of deposition technique/apparatus for the top layer because it would require extra equipment to do it by another means.

Claims 16, 29 and 25: See col. 4: line 16-18 of Klein which discloses that ions impacting the target creates heat. Heat is a form of power. The power is generated from the application of RF. In other words it is power that was converted from an RF source. The claims do not require that the power be electrical or anything else.

Art Unit: 1731

Alternatively since both are anodes are connected to the ground, the RF power is applied to both. The claims do not differentiate between the two powers.

Claim 26: Since Kawaguchi does the same thing applicant does, one would expect the same surface roughness.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Farah, Nourshargh, Kaminow, Atkins, Tumminelli, Makikawa, Dawes and Collins are cited as being directed as being directed to the disclosed and/or claimed invention. The present claims are broad and at least claim 14 could have been rejected in view of any number of references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is (571) 272 1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00- 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

2-6-04

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/

ohn Hoffmann

Primary Examiner

jmh